

Message

---

**From:** Yeow, Aaron [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=613120791828428B8CBFD6178910D97E-YEOW, ARRON]  
**Sent:** 10/29/2018 1:33:21 PM  
**To:** Tony Cox [tcoxdenver@aol.com]  
**Subject:** RE: INTERVIEW - questions

Also I think you are missing a "t" from "wha": "...but I see that as what we need to do..."

Otherwise looks fine for you expressing your viewpoint.

-Aaron

Aaron Yeow, M.P.H.  
Designated Federal Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Science Advisory Board  
202-564-2050 (P)  
202-565-2098 (F)

Mailing Address:  
USEPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, (1400R), Washington, DC 20460

Physical Location/Deliveries:  
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 31150, Washington, DC 20004

---

**From:** Tony Cox [mailto:tcoxdenver@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 29, 2018 9:28 AM  
**To:** Yeow, Aaron <Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: INTERVIEW - questions

Great! (I just need to delete the trailing "and I" from the answer to Q2)

Ok for me to finalize and send?

Best,

-- Tony

On Oct 29, 2018, at 4:42 AM, Yeow, Aaron <[Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov](mailto:Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov)> wrote:

Tony,

For your answer on question 2, it seems like it got cut off. I put some proposed answers to questions 2 and 3 in red.

-Aaron

Aaron Yeow, M.P.H.

Designated Federal Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Science Advisory Board  
202-564-2050 (P)  
202-565-2098 (F)

Mailing Address:  
USEPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, (1400R), Washington, DC 20460

Physical Location/Deliveries:  
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 31150, Washington, DC 20004

**From:** [tcoxdenver@aol.com](mailto:tcoxdenver@aol.com) [<mailto:tcoxdenver@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 28, 2018 12:13 PM  
**To:** Yeow, Aaron <[Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov](mailto:Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: INTERVIEW - questions

Aaron, how about the following?

Best,

-- Tony

#### QUESTIONS

1. Do you feel that the new configuration of the CASAC's review process has advantages or disadvantages over the previous, which included the Particulate Matter Review Panel.

### Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

2. Do you feel that the 7 member configuration of the CASAC has sufficient capacity for the volume of work required of it? Has EPA added staff support for the committee and expanded their scope of work?

### Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

3. I see in other press that CASAC has tentatively scheduled a meeting for Dec. 12-13 for review of particulates proposals. Is that meeting confirmed? Have you publicly circulated an agenda?

### Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

4. What is the term of appointment to the CASAC? Is that tenure adequate to significantly oversee the development of the science record and decision process for the committee's areas of responsibility?

### Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

5. Would you care to comment on the particular skills and expertise that you and your fellow committee members bring to CASAC's mandate?

## Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

-----Original Message-----

From: Yeow, Aaron <Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov>

To: Tom Oates [Personal Matters / Ex. 6]; Tony Cox <tcxdenver@aol.com>

Sent: Fri, Oct 26, 2018 11:32 am

Subject: RE: INTERVIEW - questions

Tony,

Let me know if you would like to defer these to our press office.

-Aaron

Aaron Yeow, M.P.H.

Designated Federal Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Science Advisory Board

202-564-2050 (P)

202-565-2098 (F)

Mailing Address:

USEPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, (1400R), Washington, DC 20460

Physical Location/Deliveries:

1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 31150, Washington, DC 20004

**From:** Tom Oates [Personal Matters / Ex. 6]

**Sent:** Friday, October 26, 2018 1:18 PM

**To:** Tony Cox <tcxdenver@aol.com>

**Cc:** Yeow, Aaron <Yeow.Aaron@epa.gov>

**Subject:** INTERVIEW - questions

Tony,

Congratulations on your appointment as chair of the Clean Air Science Advisory Committee. Thank you for agreeing to accept questions about the committee and its work.

My questions are rather limited, but please don't feel constrained in your replies. My normal process is a telephone interview which can facilitate a more organic development of the topics. I will welcome your lead on this.

I have copied Aaron Yeow on this email also for his information, though the questions are for you.

## QUESTIONS

1. Do you feel that the new configuration of the CASAC's review process has advantages or disadvantages over the previous, which included the Particulate Matter Review Panel.
2. Do you feel that the 7 member configuration of the CASAC has sufficient capacity for the volume of work required of it? Has EPA added staff support for the committee and expanded their scope of work?
3. I see in other press that CASAC has tentatively scheduled a meeting for Dec. 12-13 for review of particulates proposals. Is that meeting confirmed? Have you publicly circulated an agenda?
4. What is the term of appointment to the CASAC? Is that tenure adequate to significantly oversee the development of the science record and decision process for the committee's areas of responsibility?
5. Would you care to comment on the particular skills and expertise that you and your fellow committee members bring to CASAC's mandate?

Thank you once again for your help on my article.

Tom

**Personal Matters / Ex. 6**